

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/898,424	YOUNG, DONALD C.
Examiner	Art Unit	
C. SAYALA	1761	

All Participants:

(1) C. SAYALA

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(2) Ms Rokos

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 11 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

as rejected in the Final Office action

Claims discussed:

all pending claims

Prior art documents discussed:

Hsu


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim amendments to overcome the Hsu reference and distinguish applicant's claims over art of record, were discussed in view of Applicant's amendment of 1/29/04. Applicant will fax amended claims based on this discussion. The examiner's amendment depicts an exact copy of applicant's amended claims faxed 2/18/04. .